

 \mathcal{N}



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

- 5-30

Patricia a Dyg

PATRICIA A. DUFFY PRIMARY EXAMINER

			To states of park		MMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS hington, D.C. 20231	
08/4=	75,784				/	
SERIAL	NUMBER FILING D	ATE	FIRST NAME	D APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
L_00/	4 75,78406 /	07/95	_LIVINGSTON_		P 43016-C/JPW/	
					EXAMINER	
			HM12/0129	9		
	N P WHITE				DUFFY, P ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	PER AND DUNHA 5 AVENUE OF T		RICAS		28	
	YORK NY 1003				1645	
					DATE MAILED: 01/29/01	
	Below is a comm	unication fron	n the EXAMINER in char	ge of this appli	cation	
			OF PATENTS AND TRAD			
			ADVISORY A	CTION		
□тн	E PERIOD FOR RESPO	NSE:				
a) 🔲	is extended to run		or continues to run		from the date of the final rejection	
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.						
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.						
F⊠T Ap	pellant's Brief is due in ac	cordance with	37 CFR 1.192(a).			
Ø	The proposed amendme	nts to the clain	n and /or specification will	not be entered a	and the final rejection stands because:	
	a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.					
	b. 🔀 They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).					
	c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).					
	d. X They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.					
	e. They present add	itional claims v	vithout cancelling a corres	ponding number	of finally rejected claims.	
	NOTE: The cancel	latom &	Jain 94 rais	es a new	rejection for dependent claims	
	96,97-	+99 und	er 112. Newry	ections ar	e not pumitted in an	
			oil not be enth		mendment raises a newgrains	
2. 📋	Newly proposed or ame the non-allowable claims	nded daims_			omitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling	
з. 🔀			d amendment will be	entered 🔀 will	not be entered and the status of the claims will	
					Substitute of the second secon	
	Claims allowed: Claims objected to:				The English and American Conference of the Confe	
		78-100				
	However	d would h	are	. ,		
	Applicant's respons	e les overcon	ne the following rejection (s): had app	n " Ogention " would him here	
4. [又]	The affidavit, exhibit or r	equest for rec			s not overcome the rejection because	
e iza Nimana kan	Apoleunts' HESP	•	not pusuasive be			
5 U	the language	etine a	aim patentably	distinguis	The Specifically pointing out how	

Other

The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner

All offections are mainteined for reasons made of record.